# **Civil Engineering**

# Reinforced Cement Concrete & Pre-stressed Concrete

**Comprehensive Theory** 

with Solved Examples and Practice Questions





#### **MADE EASY Publications Pvt. Ltd.**

Corporate Office: 44-A/4, Kalu Sarai (Near Hauz Khas Metro Station), New Delhi-110016

E-mail: infomep@madeeasy.in Contact: 011-45124660, 8860378007 Visit us at: www.madeeasypublications.org

#### **Reinforced Cement Concrete & Pre-stressed Concrete**

© Copyright, by MADE EASY Publications Pvt. Ltd.

All rights are reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photo-copying, recording or otherwise), without the prior written permission of the above mentioned publisher of this book.

First Edition: 2015
Second Edition: 2016
Third Edition: 2017
Fourth Edition: 2018
Fifth Edition: 2019
Sixth Edition: 2020
Seventh Edition: 2021
Eighth Edition: 2022

© All rights reserved by MADE EASY PUBLICATIONS Pvt. Ltd. No part of this book may be reproduced or utilized in any form without the written permission from the publisher.

# **Contents**

**Chapter 1** 

2.6

# Reinforced Cement Concrete & Pre-stressed Concrete

#### Introduction ...... 1 Introduction ......1 Types of Concrete......1 1.2 Importance of Design Codes in the 1.3 Design of Structures......2 1.4 Grade of Concrete ......4 1.5 Concrete Mix Design ......4 Steps Involved in Mix Design of Concrete as per IS Recommendation......5 1.8 Behaviour of Concrete under Uniaxial Compression.. 8 Behaviour of Concrete in Tension......9 Modulus of Elasticity and Poisson's Ratio of Concrete 10 Effect of Duration of Loading on Stress Strain Curve 11 1.12 Creep of Concrete .......11 1.13 Compressive Strength of Concrete in the Design of Structures .......12 **Chapter 2** Basic Design Concepts.....13 2.1 Introduction ......13 Necessity of Designing Reinforced Concrete Structures.....13 2.2 Hydraulic and Non-Hydraulic Cements.....14 2.3 Tests on Cement......14 2.4 2.5 Methods to Increase the Durability of Concrete

against Chemical Attack ......15

Design Philosophies for the Design of Reinforced

Concrete Structures.......15

	Load and Resistance Factor Design18
2.8	IS 456: 2000 Recommendations for LSM of Design19
2.9	Various types of Young's Modulus of Elasticity of
	Concrete (Ec)22
2.10	Properties of Reinforcement and its use in
	Reinforced Concrete Structures23
2.11	Cover Requirements as per IS 456: 200025
2.12	Spacing of Reinforcement25
2.13	Other Important Considerations in Reinforced Concrete25
2.14	Major Reasons of Structure Failure27
2.15	List of Major Indian Standard (IS) Codes Relating to
	Reinforced Concrete27
2.16	Major Challenges for a Structural Designer28
	Objective Brain Teasers28
Ch	anton 2
CIIC	apter 3
	•
Woı	rking Stress Method (WSM)
Woı	•
Wor	rking Stress Method (WSM) Design
<b>Wor of D</b> 3.1	rking Stress Method (WSM) Design
<b>Wor of D</b> 3.1	rking Stress Method (WSM)  Design
World of D 3.1 3.2	rking Stress Method (WSM) Design
Wor of D 3.1 3.2	rking Stress Method (WSM)  Design
Word D 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	rking Stress Method (WSM)  Design
Word C 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	rking Stress Method (WSM)  Design
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	rking Stress Method (WSM)  Design
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7	rking Stress Method (WSM)  Design

3.10	Behaviour of Reinforced Concrete in Flexure33	5.4	Analysis of Doubly Reinforced Rectangular Beam	
3.11	Location of Reinforcing Bars in Beam Section35		Sections11	2
3.12	Usefulness of Concrete in Tension Side35	5.5	Limiting Moment of Resistance11	3
3.13	Permissible Stresses in Concrete and Steel35	5.6	Balanced Doubly Reinforced Sections11	3
3.14 Assumptions in the analysis of beams by working		5.7	Design of Doubly Reinforced Rectangular Beam	
	stress method (at service loads)36		Section11	4
3.15	Design of Reinforced Concrete Structures37	5.8	Design Steps for a Given Factored Moment11	6
3.16	Singly Reinforced Sections37	5.9	Deflection Control in Doubly Reinforced Beams11	6
3.17	Doubly Reinforced Beam Section42		Objective Brain Teasers12	6
3.18	Singly Reinforced Flanged Section44		Conventional Practice Questions12	7
3.19	Doubly Reinforced Flanged Section45			
3.20	Limitations of WSM of Design45	Cha	apter 6	
	Objective Brain Teasers57		ign for Shear in Reinforced Concrete 12	R
	Conventional Practice Questions58	6.1	Introduction	
		6.2	Shear in Reinforced Concrete	
Cha	apter 4	6.3	Shear Stress Distribution in	J
Ana	alysis and Design by	0.5	Rectangular Homogeneous Section	120
	iit State Method (LSM)59	6.4	Combined Effect of Bending Stress and	_
	Introduction	0.1	Shear Stress in a Beam13	2
4.1		6.5	Shear Transfer Mechanism	
4.2	Analysis of Beams by LSM59  Assumptions in the Analysis Design by LSM59	6.6	Nominal Shear Stress13	
4.3	Analysis of Singly Reinforced Sections	6.7	Critical Sections for Shear	
4.4 4.5	Requirements of Flexural Design76	6.8	Design Shear Strength of	
			Concrete without Shear Reinforcement	6
4.6	Deflection Control by Limiting the Span/Depth Ratio 79 Selection of Member Sizes80	6.9	Shear Strength of Concrete with	
4.7	Design of Reinforced Concrete Rectangular Beams 81		Shear Reinforcement13	7
4.8	Reinforcement Arrangement in Different Types of	6.10	Minimum Shear Reinforcement14	
4.9	Reams	6.11	Maximum Spacing of Shear Reinforcement14	
4.10	Comparison between WSM and LSM of Design86	6.12		
4.10	Slabs as Rectangular Beams98	6.13	Shear Connectors in Members Subjected to Flexure14	
4.12	Transverse Moments in One Way Slabs98	6.14	Lattice Girder Effect14	
7.12	Objective Brain Teasers		Objective Brain Teasers15	
	Conventional Practice Questions110		Conventional Practice Questions15	
	Conventional ractice Questions			
Cha	apter 5	Cha	apter 7	
	ign of Doubly Reinforced Beam by	Des	ign for Bond in Reinforced Concrete 15	б
	it State Method111	7.1	Introduction	
5.1	Introduction111	7.2	Bond in Reinforced Concrete	6
5.2	Doubly Reinforced Beam Section111	7.3	Mechanism of Force Transfer Through Bond 15	6
5.3	Hanger Bars v/s Compression Reinforcement	7.4	Bond Stress	
	5			

7.5	Various Types of Bond in Reinforced Concrete	157	9.3	Effective Width of Flange	189
7.6	Flexural Bond	157	9.4	Compressive Stress Distribution in the Flange	190
7.7	Anchorage/Development Bond	158	9.5	Analysis of Flanged Beams Sections	
7.8	Development Length	159		(by Limit State Method)	190
7.9	Mechanisms of Bond Failure	161	9.6	Derivation of the Expression to Determine	197
7.10	Factors affecting the Bond Strength	161	9.7	Types of Analysis Problems	
7.11	Bends, Hooks and Mechanical Anchorages		9.8	Integral Action of Slab and Beam	197
7.12	Anchoring Bars in Tension		9.9	Design of Flanged Beam Sections	
7.13	Anchoring Bars in Compression			(by Limit State Method)	
7.14	Mechanical Devices for Anchorages			Objective Brain Teasers	
7.15	Anchoring Shear Reinforcement			Conventional Practice Questions	206
	_		Cl.		
7.16	Reinforcement Splicing			apter 10	
	Objective Brain Teasers		Lim	it State of Serviceability-	
	Conventional Practice Questions	166	Def	lection and Cracking	207
	_		10.1	Introduction	207
Cha	apter 8		10.2	The Limit States of Serviceability-Deflection an	
Des	sign for Torsion in			Cracking	207
Rei	nforced Concrete	167	10.3	Limit State of Serviceability: Deflection	208
8.1	Introduction	167	10.4	Limit State of Serviceability: Cracking	
8.2	Design for Torsion	167	10.5	Other Limit States of Serviceability	
8.3	Mechanism of Torsion in Reinforced Concrete			Objective Brain Teasers	216
	Structures	167	-		
8.4	Plain Concrete Subjected to Torsion		Cha	apter 11	
8.5	Torsionally Reinforced Concrete Subjected		Two	Way Slab Design	217
0.5	to Torsion	170	11.1	Introduction	217
8.6	Analysis for Torsion		11.2	One Way v/s Two Way Slabs	217
	•			Dealing with Torsion in Two Way Slabs	220
8.7	Torsional Reinforcement	171	11.4	Wall-Supported and Column/Beam	
8.8	IS 456: 2000 Provisions for the Design of	470		Supported Slabs	221
	Reinforcement in Members Subjected to Torsion		11.5	Design of Wall-supported (or Rigid Beam	
8.9	Design for Torsion as per Working Stress Method		11.6	Supported) Two-Way Slabs	
8.10	Design for Torsion as per Limit State Method		11.6	Thickness of Slabs	
	Objective Brain Teasers		11.7	Analysis of Two Way Slabs Shear in Two Way Uniformly Loaded Slabs	
	Conventional Practice Questions	187	11.8	Objective Brain Teasers	
				Conventional Practice Questions	
Cha	apter 9			Conventional Fluctice Questions	239
Ana	alysis and Design of		Cl.		
	nged Beams by LSM	188		apter 12	
9.1	Introduction			sign of Compression	
9.2	Flanged Beams			mbers/Columns	
	-		12.1	Introduction	240

12.2	Compression Member/Column in a Structure 24	0 13.7	Plain Concrete Footings	290
12.3	Classification of Columns24	0 13.8	Design of Rectangular Isolated Footing	292
12.4	Shear Consideration in Columns24	3 13.9	Design of Sloped Isolated Footing	295
12.5	IS 456: 2000 Recommendations for the	13.10	Design of Circular Isolated Footing of	
	Design of Columns24	3	Uniform Thickness	296
12.6	Design of Short Columns under Axial Compression 24	6 13.11	Design of Wall	297
12.7	Design of short columns with Axial Load	13.12	Design of Combined Footings	315
	and Uniaxial Bending25	3 13.13	Soil Pressure Distribution in Combined Footing	315
12.8	Failure Modes in Eccentric Compression	5 13.14	Geometric Design of Combined Footings	315
12.9	Design Strength of Axially Loaded Short	13.15	Design Aspects of Two Column Combined Footing.	315
	Columns with Uniaxial Bending25	5 13.16	Combined Footing of Beam-Slab	315
12.10	Interaction Charts as Analysis Aids25	7	Objective Brain Teasers	322
12.11	Design Aids as Non-dimensional		Conventional Practice Questions	324
	Interaction Diagrams25	7		
12.12	Design Charts of SP-1625	8 Cha	pter 14	
12.13	Design of Short Columns under Axial		stressed Concrete	225
	Compression and Biaxial Bending25	8		
12.14	Interaction Surface25	9	Introduction	
12.15	IS 456: 2000 Procedure for the Design of	14.2	Need of High Strength Concrete in Prestressing	
	Columns with Axial Load and Bi-axial Bending 25		Need of High Tensile Steel in Prestressing	326
12.16	Design Steps for the Design of Column with	14.4	Relative Comparison of Prestressed and	
	Axial Load and Bi-axial Bending26		Reinforced Concrete Beam	
12.17	Design of Long/Slender Columns26		Terminologies	
12.18	Analysis of Slender/Long Columns26	5	Advantages of Prestressed Concrete	
12.19	Provisions for the Design of Slender Columns	14.7	Design of High Strength Concrete Mixes	
	as per IS 456: 2000		High Tensile Steel	330
12.20	Limitations of Design Charts of SP-1626	7 14.9	Cover Requirements in Prestressed	
	Objective Brain Teasers27		Concrete Members	
	Conventional Practice Questions27	5	Protection of Prestressing Steel	
			Prestressing System	
Cha	apter 13		Tensioning Devices	
	ign of Reinforced Concrete		Pre-tensioning and Post-tensioning Systems	332
	llow Foundations27		Assumptions in the Analysis and	
	Introduction		Design of Prestressed Concrete Members	
		/ 14.13	Analysis of Prestress	
13.2	Footings	14.10	Prestress Pressure Distribution in Beams	
13.3	Footing as a Structural Element	7	Effect of Loading on Stresses in Tendons	
13.4	Types of Footings	14.18	Prestressed Beam with Parabolic Tendon Profile	342
13.5		1	C-line or Pressure Line	
12.6	Isolated Footings	17.20	The Concept of Load Balancing	
13.6	Footing Design: General Requirements and Codal		Stresses in Beam at Different Stages of Loading	352
	(IS 456 : 2000) Provisions28-	<sup>4</sup> 14.22	Prestress Losses	353

14.23 Cracking Moment 3	61 G. Permissible Stresses
14.24 Design of Prestressed Concrete Beam Members3	63 H. Analysis Methods and Design Approaches
Objective Brain Teasers3	80 (Based on Codes)
Conventional Practice Questions3	81 15.22 Elastic Analysis Methods
	15.23 Seismic Analysis Factors398
Chapter 15	15.24 Design Seismic base Shear40
Earthquake Resistant	15.25 Seismic Weight (IS 1893 : 2002, Part-I)
-	15.26 Distribution of Design Force
Design of Structures38	(IS 1893 : 2002, Part-I)
A. Seismology	I. Torsion (IS 1893 : 2002, Part-I)
15.1 Earthquake	J. Soft Storeys in Construction
15.2 Internal Structures of Earth	K. Storey Drift
15.3 Fault	L. Seismic Response Control
15.4 Elastic Rebound Theory3	M. Ductile Detailing of Reinforced Concrete
15.5 Earthquake Terminologies	85 Structures Subjected to Seismic Forces
15.6 Earthquake Size3	15.27 General Recommendations408
15.7 Seismic Zone Map, 2002	87 15.28 Flexural Members408
B. Ground Motion Characteristics	15.29 Slab412
15.8 Strong Motion Characteristics	87 15.30 Columns and Frame Members subjected
15.9 Strong Motion Seismology Terminology	88 to Bending and Axial Load412
C. Loads	15.31 Joints of Frames
D. Conceptual Design	15.32 Shear Walls417
15.10 Continuous Load Transfer Path	90 Objective Brain Teasers418
15.11 Symmetric and Uniform Structure3	
15.12 Stiffness and Strength3	92 <b>Appendix-A</b>
15.13 Horizontal and Vertical Members	
15.14 Twisting of Buildings3	93 Introduction
15.15 Ductility3	
15.16 Lateral Load Resisting Systems3	
E. Design Earthquake Loads	Effective Length of Walls435
15.17 Design Horizontal Earthquake Load3	
15.18 Design Vertical Earthquake Load3	
15.19 Combination of Two or	00,000.70 0.00.70 0.00.00 0.00.00 0.00.00 0.00.00 0.
Three Component Motion3	95
F. Basic Load Combinations	
15.20 Load Factors for Plastic Design of Steel Structures	95
15.21 Load Factors for Limit State Design of Reinforced	

Concrete and Prestressed Concrete Structures......395

# **Basic Design Concepts**

#### 2.1 Introduction

Concrete is such a wonderful construction material that an *Italian architect* once said:

"Concrete has liberated us from the rectangle".

It is because of the flexibility and mouldability property of concrete (when wet) that we are now having structure of any shape and size. It has replaced the conventional building materials like stone, timber and steel. Any reinforced concrete structure (be it simple or complex), is an assembly of basic structural elements like beams, columns, footings, slabs and walls. Thus, design of reinforced concrete structures lies in the design of these basic structural elements i.e. the design of beams, columns, slabs, walls, footings etc. Numerous theories were proposed in the last century for the design of concrete structures. In this chapter, we will look into the various theories for design of concrete structure.

# 2.2 Necessity of Designing Reinforced Concrete Structures

The principal aim of structural design is that the structures should perform its intended function *safely* at ultimate loads within their life time and also *serviceable* at service or working loads.

The term 'safety' includes the following parameters:

- 1. Reserve strength of the material of the structure.
- 2. Limited or permissible deformation(s) in the structure.
- 3. Durability of the structure in the long run.

Thus, **safety** implies that the possibility of the failure of the structure (partial or complete failure) is very low even at **ultimate loads** considering appropriate factor of safety.

**Serviceability** implies that the structure should perform its intended function very well at **working loads**. It includes deflection, vibration, crack widths, durability, permeability, acoustics, thermal insulation etc.

Thus, the basic objectives to fulfil serviceability criteria can be summarized as:

- 1. Properly designed structures should perform its intended function at service loads quite satisfactorily.
- 2. Structure should bear all the loads and should deform within the permissible limits.
- 3. The structures should be durable enough against the adverse environmental conditions.









4. The designed structure must adequately resist the probable hazardous effects of structural misuse and fire.



Increasing the **factor of safety** in the design of structures increases the safety and serviceability of the structure but at the same time it increases the cost of structure also. Here comes the role of **economy** in the design of structures. While considering the overall economy of a structure, the increased cost associated with the increased factor of safety must be properly weighed against the possibility of structural failure.

#### 2.2.1 Objectives of Structural Design

The rational design of a structure must satisfy the following requirements:

- 1. **Stability:** The structure must be stable enough to resist the failure of structure in terms of overturning, sliding, buckling of the structure or parts thereof under the severe action of loads viz. both permanent (dead load) and temporary (superimposed live load etc.).
- 2. **Strength:** The structure must be able to carry safely the stresses induced by the severe most possible combination of loads acting on the structure.
- 3. Serviceability: The structure must perform its intended function i.e. it must be serviceable. This implies that the deflections, vibrations, crack-widths, permeability to water etc. are within the permissible limits.
- 4. **Aesthetics:** The structure must be in harmony with the surroundings and should look pleasing. It is purely an architectural consideration.
- 5. **Economy:** At last, economy plays the most important role in structural design. The cost of the structure and its associated facilities must not be so gargantuan that it may dictate the overall functional requirement of the structure.



It is a very common misconception that use of more cement in concrete is better provided the cost of cement is not a constraint. But this is **NOT TRUE**. Excessive use of cement in concrete leads to cracking of concrete because large amount of heat of hydration is generated from the hydration of cement in concrete and also due to plastic shrinkage of cement paste. It also leads to long term effects of creep and drying shrinkage resulting in large deflections and cracking in the structure.

# 2.3 Hydraulic and Non-Hydraulic Cements

Cements obtained from the calcination of gypsum and lime stones are **non-hydraulic** because the products of hydration of this type of cement are not resistant to water however the addition of pozzolanic materials in these cements make them **hydraulic**.

#### 2.4 Tests on Cement

Production of quality concrete primarily depends on the quality of cement used for making the concrete. Tests on cement are conducted keeping in view the recommendations of IS 269: 1976 and IS 4031: 1988 in order to assess the following:

1. **Chemical composition:** It is done to determine the oxides of calcium, silica, aluminium, iron, sulphur and magnesium and to check whether these impurities are within the permissible limits.







- 2. **Fineness of cement**: It measures the size of cement particles expressed in terms of specific surface (defined as surface area per unit mass). Higher the fineness of cement, higher is the hydration rate of cement leading to early development of strength.
- 3. **Consistency:** It is done to determine the quantity of water to be mixed in order to produce a standard cement paste.
- 4. **Initial and final setting time:** It measures the rate at which cement paste solidifies. Initial setting time indicates the time after which cement paste becomes unworkable while the final setting time indicates the time to reach the state of complete solidification of cement paste. It is measured using Vicat's apparatus.
- 5. **Soundness**: It indicates the quality of cement paste by virtue of which it does not undergo large change in volumes.
- 6. **Strength**: It is measured by performing tests on hardened cement concrete/cement paste and is expressed in terms of stress at failure of the specimen subjected to compression and tension tests.



Earlier the strength of cement was specified as 7 days strength but later on it was found that many other types of cement like the Rapid Hardening Portland Cement gains strength much earlier than the strength corresponding to 7 days strength of other types of cement however, the ultimate strength of both the types of cement (viz. rapid hardening cement and ordinary Portland cement) at the end of 28 days is more or less same. Most of the types of cement gain a significant amount of long term strength at about 28 days only.

# 2.5 Methods to Increase the Durability of Concrete against Chemical Attack

By reducing the permeability of concrete in following ways:

- 1. Use of high strength concrete
- 2. By proper curing of concrete
- 3. By having a low water cement ratio
- 4. Using improved quality of admixtures
- 5. By having the maximum possible compaction of concrete
- 6. By the use of well graded, dense aggregates
- 7. By minimizing the possibility of cracks at the design phase itself

#### By providing protection to reinforcing steel in following ways:

- 1. By providing adequate clear cover to reinforcing steel as per relevant stipulations of IS codes.
- 2. Using coated steel or suitable corrosion resistant steel.
- 3. By preventing the corrosion of steel by the methods like sacrificial protection etc.
- 4. By the use of suitable corrosion resistant cement.
- 5. Avoid use of alkali reactive aggregates.
- 6. By preventing ponding on roof slabs and on other concrete surfaces by proper drainage of water.
- 7. By having a proper control on chloride and sulphate content in the concrete mix.

# 2.6 Design Philosophies for the Design of Reinforced Concrete Structures

Numerous design philosophies have been proposed in the last century for the design of reinforced concrete structures. Every **design philosophy** is based on certain assumptions.





CI. 18.2 of IS 456: 2000 accepts three methods of design of reinforced concrete structures viz. *Limit State Method, Working Stress Method* and *Method based on experimental approach.* Working Stress Method is the earliest codified design philosophy which is based on linear elastic theory. This method is now out dated and in the latest version of IS 456: 2000, the provisions of *working stress method* of design has been shifted from the main text of the code to **Annexure 'B'** so as to have larger emphasis on *Limit State Method*.

**Ultimate Load Method** of design is based on the strength of concrete at ultimate loads. This method was in fact a replacement of traditional WSM of design which was incorporated in the **IS: 456** in the year 1964.

Later on it was realized that there involves a lot of uncertainty in the precise estimation of loads and material properties. Every time designing a structure for the most possible severe combination of loads make the structure highly safe which in fact may not be subjected to that much loads in actual life and at the same time it increases the cost and works out to be uneconomical. However, these uncertainties can be handled by the **theory of probability.** The risk associated with the design of structure was quantified in terms of **probability of failure** of the structure. This type of probability based method is called as **reliability based method.** The major drawback of these methods is that they are highly complicated in terms of mathematical calculations.

In order to overcome the above stated problem of reliability based methods, instead of determining the actual **probability of failure** of the structure, we introduce **many (partial) safety factors**. The European Committee for Concrete **(CEB)** and the International Federation of Prestressing **(FIP)** were among the first to introduce the concept of **Limit State Method of Design**, which is a **reliability based method**. Later on, based on the recommendations of **CEB-FIP**, the limit state method of design got introduced in the British Code (BS-8110-1997) and in the **Indian Code (IS 456:1978)**.

#### 2.6.1 The Working Stress Method (WSM) of Design

It is the most traditional method for the design of **reinforced concrete**, **structural steel and timber**. The basic design philosophy of this method is:

- 1. The material behaves in a linear elastic manner.
- 2. Adequate safety can be ensured by restricting the stresses in the materials that are induced due to the application of working loads/service loads.

Now the question arises:

#### "How to justify the assumption that material behaves in a linear elastic manner?"

The answer to the above question lies in the second assumption, where the allowable stresses are kept well below the strength of material i.e. the allowable stresses lie in the linear phase of stress-strain curve so the assumption of linear elastic behavior of material is justified.

The second assumption as stated above also introduces the concept of **factor of safety** which is expressed as:

Factor of safety = 
$$\frac{\text{Strength of the material}}{\text{Allowable stress in the material}}$$

The stresses induced due to the application of service or working loads are determined using the principles of **Strength of Materials**. But reinforced concrete is a **composite material** having two altogether different materials viz. concrete and steel. To apply the principles of Strength of Materials to a composite structure like reinforced concrete, the concept of **strain compatibility** is employed wherein it is assumed that there exists a perfect bond between the two materials (steel and concrete) and that the strain in steel is equal to the strain in the surrounding concrete due to that perfect bond.







It is quite evident that the stress is related to the strain and thus it follows that stress in steel is related to the strain in steel. But strain in steel is equal to the strain in surrounding concrete. Therefore, the stress in steel is indirectly related to the strain in the surrounding concrete. This indirect relation (between the steel and concrete) is expressed in terms of **modular ratio (m)** as:

$$\begin{split} \text{Modular Ratio} &= \frac{\text{Stress in steel } (f_{\text{S}})}{\text{Stress in concrete } (f_{\text{C}})} \\ &= \frac{\text{Strain in steel } (\epsilon_{\text{S}}) \times \text{Modulus of elasticity of steel } (E_{\text{S}})}{\text{Strain in concrete } (\epsilon_{\text{C}}) \times \text{Modulus of elasticity of concrete } (E_{\text{C}})} \\ &= \frac{E_{\text{S}}}{E_{\text{C}}} \end{split} \tag{Since } \epsilon_{\text{C}} = \epsilon_{\text{S}})$$

#### **Limitations of WSM of design**

- 1. It fails to give relative importance to the different types of loads that act on a structure i.e. dead loads, live loads, snow load, seismic loads, temperature load etc. All of these act on the structure with different uncertainties. This often leads to over conservative designs and sometimes under conservative designs (when two loads are acting oppositely i.e. dead and wind loads, live and seismic loads etc.).
- 2. WSM of design gives large sections (compared to LSM and ULM) of the designed reinforced concrete members.



Although WSM of design has been put at annexure in IS 456: 2000 and superseded by LSM, it still remains the accepted method of design for certain type of structures like reinforced concrete water tanks (IS 3370), chimneys (IS 4998) and bridges (IRC-21).

#### 2.6.2 The Ultimate Load Method (ULM) of Design

This method is an improvement over the traditional WSM of design and takes into account the shortcomings of the earlier method. The ULM is also called as the **load factor method** or the **ultimate strength method**. In this method, the non-linear stress strain behavior of steel and concrete are accounted for and stresses induced in the structure at the verge of failure at ultimate loads are considered. The problems associated with the modular ratio (m) are entirely avoided in this method. The safety in the design of structure is taken care by the concept of **load factor** which is expressed as:

Load Factor = 
$$\frac{\text{Ultimate or design load}}{\text{Working or service load}}$$

This concept of load factor makes it possible to assign different factors of safety (in terms of load factors) to different types of loads (like dead loads, live loads, seismic loads, wind loads, snow loads etc.) and can be suitably combined; which was a major drawback in the WSM of design.

#### Limitations of ULM of design

- 1. The major drawback of this method is that, one cannot say with 100% assurance that if a structure performs well at ultimate loads (**strength**), the same structure will perform its function satisfactorily at service loads also (**serviceability**).
- 2. Another drawback of this method is that, the assumed nonlinear stress strain behavior of concrete and steel is relevant only if nonlinear analysis is performed on the structure. But nonlinear analysis of structures is too cumbersome to be done for routine type of structures.





For assessing the distribution of stresses at ultimate loads, we use the stress distribution at service loads magnified by the load factor, but this approach is NOT correct owing to the fact that as the loading is increased from the service load level to the ultimate load level, significant inelastic and nonlinear behavior of materials comes in with considerable stress redistribution.

#### 2.6.3 The Limit State Method (LSM) of Design

The earlier design methods includes the working stress method (WSM) and the ultimate load method (ULM) of design. WSM is based on service loads conditions alone whereas the ULM is based on ultimate load conditions alone. However, LSM takes into account the safety at ultimate load and serviceability at service loads. LSM employs different safety factors at ultimate loads and service loads. These multiple safety factors are based on probabilistic approach with separate approaches for each type of failure, type of materials and types of loads.

**Limit State:** Limit state is the state of 'about to collapse' or 'impending failure', beyond which, the structure is not of any practical use i.e. either the structure collapses or becomes unserviceable. In LSM, two types of limit states are defined which are:

1. **Limit state of collapse**: This limit state deals with the strength of the structure in terms of collapse, overturning, sliding, buckling etc.

Various limit states of collapse are:

- Flexure
- Compression
- Shear
- Torsion
- 2. Limit state of serviceability: This limit state deals with the deformation of the structure to such an extent that the structure becomes unserviceable due to excessive deflection, cracks, vibration, leakage etc.

Various limit states of serviceability are:

- Deflection
- Excessive vibrations
- Corrosion
- Cracking (Do not consider the tensile strength of concrete)



If a structure has attained the limit state of serviceability and then the loads are removed, then the structure will return to its original state. However, if the structure has attained the limit state of collapse, then the structure will not return to its original shape.

# 2.7 Load and Resistance Factor Design

Load and resistance factor design (LRFD) is the simplest of all the design methods that are currently in use. According to this method, the following condition must be satisfied:

Design resistance ≥ Design load

$$\phi R_n \ge \gamma S_n$$
 ...(i)

Where,  $R_n$  and  $S_n$  are nominal/characteristic values of resistance and load effects respectively and  $\phi$ ,  $\gamma$  represent the **resistance factor** and **load factor** respectively.

Resistance factor ( $\phi$ ) takes into account the possible uncertainties in the determination of material characteristics like Poisson's ratio, modulus of elasticity etc. and is less than unity whereas the load factor ( $\gamma$ ) takes into account the possible overloading of the structure and is greater than unity.





# 2.14 Major Reasons of Structure Failure

There are so many reasons for a building failure. By the term 'failure', it implies failure in terms of either collapse or serviceability or both. Some of the major reasons of structure failure are as follows:

Failure during construction or soon after	Failure after a long time of construction
Design fault/significant shift from actual design	Collapse/failure of primary load carrying member by accident or otherwise
Poor detailing	Change in use of the structure leading to over loading
Inferior quality of materials	Factors which are beyond human control like fire, earthquake, blast etc.
Inferior construction quality	Lack of proper repair and maintenance
Substandard formwork and/or scaffolding	Exposure to adverse environment which was not envisaged in design

# 2.15 List of Major Indian Standard (IS) Codes Relating to Reinforced Concrete

#### 2.15.1 Codes for Cement

IS 269: 1989	: Specification for 33 Grade Ordinary Portland cement
IS 8112: 1989	: Specification for 43 Grade Ordinary Portland cement
IS12269: 1987	: Specification for 53 Grade Ordinary Portland cement
IS 8041: 1990	: Specification for rapid hardening Portland cement
IS 4031: 1988	: Methods of physical tests for hydraulic cement
IS 6909: 1990	: Specification for supersulphated cement
IS 8042: 1978	: Specification for Portland white cement
IS 12600: 1989	: Specification for low heat Portland cement
IS 1489: 1991	: Specification for Portland pozzolana cement
	Part – I Flyash based
	Part - II Calcined clay based
IS 8043: 1991	: Specification for hydrophobic Portland cement
IS 12330: 1988	: Specification for sulphate resisting cement
IS 6452: 1989	: Specification for high alumina cement for structural use

#### 2.15.2 Codes for steel used as reinforcement in RCC structures

IS 432 (Part-I): 1982	: Specification for mild steel and medium tensile steel bars for concrete reinforcement
IS 1786: 1985	: Specification for high strength deformed steel bars for concrete reinforcement
IS 1608: 1995	: Mechanical testing of metals-Tensile testing
IS 2062: 1999	: Steel for general structural purposes-Specification
IS 1566: 1982	: Specification for hard drawn steel wire fabric for concrete reinforcement

#### 2.15.3 Codes for concrete

IS 10262: 1982	:	Recommended guidelines for concrete mix design
IS 7861 (Part-I): 1975	:	Code of Practice for extreme weather concreting (Part-I recommended for
		hot weather concreting)
IS 3370 (Part -I): 1965	:	Code of Practice for storage of liquids (Part-I: General)

#### **Civil Engineering**





IS 516: 1959
 IS 1199: 1959
 Methods of tests for strength of concrete
 IS 1343: 1980
 Methods of sampling and analysis of concrete
 Code of Practice for Prestressed Concrete

#### 2.15.4 Codes for aggregates, admixtures and water to be used in RCC

IS 383: 1970 : Specification for coarse and fine aggregates from natural sources for concrete

IS 2386 (Parts 1 to 8) : Methods of tests for aggregate for concrete IS 1344: 1981 : Specification for calcined clay pozzolana

IS 3812: 1981 : Specification for flyash for use as pozzolana and admixture

IS 9103: 1999 : Specification for admixtures for concrete

IS 3025 (Parts 17 to 32) : Methods of sampling and test (physical and chemical) for water and waste

water.

#### 2.16 Major Challenges for a Structural Designer

In order to design a structure economically, a structural designer faces the following challenges:

- 1. Analysing a structure on the basis of **highly simplified structural analysis theories** which are far from actual material (steel, concrete) behavior.
- 2. Construction of structure by the unorganized sector of construction workers and there always exists a possibility of human error.
- 3. Structure subjected to a completely unpredictable natural environment.



## **Objective Brain Teasers**

- **Q.1** By the term 'safety' in reinforced concrete, we actually mean:
  - (a) Material reserve strength
  - (b) Limited deformation in structure
  - (c) Structural durability
  - (d) All of the above
- Q.2. If  $\phi$  is the resistance factor which takes into account the material uncertainties and  $\gamma$  is the load factor, then as per ULM of design, the ratio  $\phi/\gamma$  should be:
  - (a) Less than unity
- (b) Greater than unity
- (c) Equal to unity
- (d) Can't say
- **Q.3** A structure will return to its original state when it has reached:
  - (a) The limit state of collapse
  - (b) The limit state of serviceability
  - (c) Both (a) and (b)
  - (d) Structure will never return to its original shape.

- **Q.4** Which of the following load combination is NOT recommended by IS 456: 2000 for limit state of serviceability?
  - (a) 1.0(DL + LL)
  - (b) 1.5(DL + LL)
  - (c) DL + 0.8LL + 0.8EQ
  - (d) 1.0(DL + EQ)
- Q.5 The design stress-strain curve of mild steel (Fe 250) in limit state method can be obtained from the corresponding characteristic stressstrain curve by:
  - (a) Multiplying the ordinates of characteristic curve by **1.15**
  - (b) Multiplying the ordinates of characteristic curve by 1.5
  - (c) Dividing the ordinates of characteristic curve by **1.15**
  - (d) Dividing the ordinates of characteristic curve by **1.5**





- Q.6 IS 456: 2000 defines Modulus of Elasticity of concrete as 5000  $\sqrt{f_{ck}}$ . This in the stress strain curve of concrete refers to:
  - (a) Secant modulus of elasticity
  - (b) Initial tangent modulus of elasticity
  - (c) Tangent modulus of elasticity
  - (d) Long term modulus of elasticity
- Q.7 Which of the following curve is NOT covered by IS 456: 2000?
  - (a) Stress strain curve of concrete in tension.
  - (b) Stress strain curve for mild steel.
  - (c) Stress strain curve of concrete in compression.
  - (d) Stress strain curve for cold worked steel.
- Q.8 The number of concrete cube samples required to be taken for 35 m<sup>3</sup> of concreting work as per IS 456: 2000 is:
  - (a) 1
- (b) 2
- (c) 3
- (d) 4
- Q.9 As per IS 456: 2000 recommendations, which of the following is true?
  - (a) High strength bars can be re-bent.
  - (b) High strength bars must not be re-bent.
  - (c) There is no need to prepare bar bending schedule.
  - (d) Reinforcement bars should not be placed over supporting bars.
- Q.10 Which of the following reinforced concrete design philosophy do not distinguish between the different load cases?
  - (a) Limit State Method
  - (b) Working Stress Method
  - (c) Ultimate Load Method
  - (d) All of the above
- **Q.11** If ' $f_{ck}$ ' is the characteristic strength of concrete cube then design strength of concrete is
  - (a)  $0.67 f_{ck}$
- (b)  $0.447 f_{ck}$
- (c)  $f_{ck}$
- (d)  $0.5 f_{ck}$
- Q.12 Minimum grade of concrete that can be used in structures as per IS 456: 2000 is
  - (a) M15
- (b) M20
- (c) M25
- (d) M30

- Q.13 At what flexural stress, the first crack in RCC member made of M30 concrete will occur?
  - (a) 4.5 MPa
- (b) 5 MPa
- (c) 3.83 MPa
- (d) 30 MPa
- Q.14 The cover to reinforcement in a RC beam shall not be less than
  - (i) 25 mm
  - (ii) diameter of the bar (φ)
  - (iii) spacing between the bars
  - (iv) 5 mm

Which of the above statement(s) is/are true?

- (a) (i) and (ii)
- (b) (i) only
- (c) (ii) only
- (d) (i) and (iii)
- Q.15 Shear span is defined as the region where
  - (a) shear force is constant
  - (b) shear force is zero
  - (c) flexural moment is constant
  - (d) flexural moment is zero

#### **Answers**

- 1. (d)
- 2. (a) 3. (b)
- 4. (b)
- 5. (c)

- 6. (b)
- 7. (a)
- 8. (d)
- 9. (b)
- 10. (b) 15. (a)
- 11. (b) 12. (b) 13. (c) 14. (a)

#### Hints:

- 4. (b)
  - 1.5 (DL+LL) is recommended for limit state of collapse and not for limit state of serviceability.
- 11. (b)

Strength of concrete taken for actual structure  $= 0.67 f_{ck}$ 

Design strength of concrete

$$= \frac{0.67f_{ck}}{FOS} = \frac{0.67f_{ck}}{1.5} = 0.4467f_{ck}$$

13. (c)

$$f_{cr} = 0.7\sqrt{f_{ck}} = 0.7\sqrt{30} = 3.83 \text{ MPa}$$